

## HOW ECONOMIC FORECASTING CAN'T HELP

by [Steve Brown](#)

After we ran yesterday's article on forecasting, many readers wanted to know not only about our Peruvian underwear, but also about our forecasts of the market. To all of those that asked about the future, we are humbled by the fact that you care enough about what we think. Honestly though, despite having a formal background in economics and being involved with the markets for 25+ years - we have no idea. In fact, that was our point yesterday. Despite having large staffs, huge budgets and the data of the largest banks on earth, major economists came in with more than an 80% variance and were directionally right only about 50% of the time. Our point wasn't that these economists are bad, only that the economic prediction business, like earthquake prediction, is currently beyond human abilities. The analogy to earthquakes is apt, as we know exactly why earthquakes occur, yet no one has successfully predicted a major earthquake in the history of mankind. In similar fashion, we know why markets move after the fact, but there are too many variables that interact in a complex fashion to currently be predictive with any accuracy. To date, there are no standard economic forecasting models that display any useful predictive capacity. As we showed yesterday, economic predictions each year follow a predictable pattern that mimics non-economic forecasts such as earthquakes, sporting events, etc. These findings are tightly grouped and biased to the upside. In other words, even in the quantitative field of economics, all the modeling work gets overridden in either design or interpretation of the output and a behavioral bias is injected. The result is accuracy similar to a coin toss, dice roll or wild guess. So, if we are all just guessing, how does that help bank management increase earnings in the future? For starters, since predicting the economic future is a random event, bankers shouldn't waste their time worrying about a forecast. Unfortunately, economic prediction is a cost free activity so anyone can make a prediction that has very little ramifications if they are wrong. Further, to get ahead in the economic prediction business, game theory shows that the way to do so is to be an outlier on either side of the spectrum. Thus, mathematically speaking, the more wild the prediction, the lower probability that it will occur. As such, it is safe to take all predictions with a grain of salt. This is not to say that economists are worthless. While they aren't necessarily good at forecasting, they are good at understanding the forces of the economy. Thus, while attending a state bank association economic briefing won't help you find out where markets are going, it will help you understand components such as housing, business formation, spending and other trends. Further, understanding economic forecasts help bankers do one very crucial thing - role play. A good test of any management team is to ask, "How will the bank's strategy and tactics change in 2012, should an outlier economic prediction come to fruition?" This "stress testing" is one way for your bank to prepare for the economy to either take off or go into another deep recession and handle things, even if it is the higher probability middle path. Finally, all the extra resources that used to go into worrying about what duration should be targeted or what the stock market is going to do, can be devoted to three endeavors that matter most in managing a bank - profitable customer management, leverage and asset allocation. Choosing the right allocation for each lending and investment line; managing that allocation for geography; picking the right leverage or the desired risk/reward tradeoff and working on building a portfolio of profitable customers is multiple times more important than getting the level of interest rates right. All that said, if you are looking to make an equity forecast, markets rise about twice as much as they fall (likely an outcome of basic human overconfidence). If you don't have a clue what you're doing, it's a pretty

decent bet to guess markets will rise. That said, if you live near an active fault as we do, always predict an earthquake and someday you will be right.

## **BANK NEWS**

### **M&A**

S&T Bancorp (\$4.1B, PA) will buy Mainline Bancorp (\$242mm, PA) for \$21.5mm in cash and stock, or about book.

### **M&A**

PacWest Bancorp (\$5.5B, CA) has acquired Marquette Equipment Finance from Meridian Bank (AZ) for \$35mm in cash. Marquette does business equipment leasing with 18 industries throughout the country.

### **FOMC Shift**

The Fed said it will start providing a quarterly forecast of when it plans to make a change in the Fed Funds rate. It plans to provide information about member projections of monetary policy starting with the next meeting on Jan. 24 & 25. The move improves transparency and gives the Fed the ability to better communicate expectations for the timing of any increase in the rate - which experts say will likely be 2014.

### **Soft Lending**

Analysis of company filings shows loans at the four largest U.S. banks, net of loan loss allowances, increased 0.3% in the nine months ended Sep. 30

### **Slow Going**

So far regulators have met only 51 of the 200 deadlines for drafting components of Dodd-Frank.

### **5 to 7 More Years**

In 2011 the US added 1.3mm jobs, the first positive growth since the recession began. That said; we are 6.5mm jobs below the peak of 2007. At the current pace of growth, it will take until 2016 or 2018 to get back to peak levels after adjusting for population growth.

*Copyright 2021 PCBB. Information contained herein is based on sources we believe to be reliable, but its accuracy is not guaranteed. Customers should rely on their own outside counsel or accounting firm to address specific circumstances. This document cannot be reproduced or redistributed outside of your institution without the written consent of PCBB.*