

SHIFTING THE CREDIT RATING DIAL

by [Steve Brown](#)

Under the rules of Dodd Frank, bank regulatory agencies must each review any references to (or requirements in) the use of credit ratings in regulations. The agencies must then change those references to ones that reflect standards of creditworthiness deemed to be appropriate. In short, Congress didn't like the way financial investors had come to depend on credit ratings and the cozy nature of the business that showed up during the credit crisis. As such, Dodd Frank sought to remove the industry's dependence on credit ratings when investing, calculating capital ratios or anywhere else that rating might have been used prior to the crisis. Well, the OCC has fired the first shot here and other agencies are likely to follow (or even look to adopt this one). In short, the latest guidance on this subject proposes amending the definition of "investment grade" (in 12 CFR Part 1) to no longer reference credit ratings and instead become those where the issuer has an adequate capacity to meet financial commitments under the security for the projected life of the investment. Adequate capacity is further defined to mean an issuer that can meet their financial commitments, the risk of default by the obligor is low and the full and timely repayment of principal and interest is expected. Those investments that meet this new standard will be deemed to have good to very strong credit quality. As part of any examination, banks have to be able to show their investment securities meet credit quality standards, but instead of just being able to point to a credit rating, how are banks going to do that now? The OCC provides additional guidance here, starting at the top with the position that banks must have a risk management process that ensures credit risk (including credit risk in the investment portfolio), is effectively identified, measured, monitored, and controlled. That is regulatory speak for "you had better be able to demonstrate that what you are doing makes sense, or you can expect to get more definitive direction from us." The good news is that banks are lenders, but the bad news is that CFOs are not, so bridging this gap is going to be interesting when it comes to investments. Perhaps that is why the OCC went further and focused on due diligence as part of the process. Here, the regulator said banks need to have a process in place to determine whether an investment security is permissible (or safe for that matter). Specifically, the process could include internal analyses, third party research, analytics (that can include external credit ratings), internal risk ratings, default statistics and other sources of information as appropriate for the security. The key from the regulator's viewpoint is that the due diligence process should go as deep as is required to fully understand and evaluate each individual security's credit quality, structure complexity and size. Structure matters here, as regulators expect banks to perform a deeper analysis if the structure is complex - even if the credit quality is perceived to be high. Regulators expect banks to understand the structure of each investment and how that investment security will perform in different default environments and before the security is purchased. Looking even more specifically at municipal bonds for instance, the OCC indicates common things banks are expected to do include evaluating the soundness of a municipality's budgetary position and stability of its tax revenues; understand local demographics and economics; and confirm capacity to pay through internal credit analysis and/or other third party analytics as may be required. As you see here, we have moved from simply saying "it's AAA" to more robust and thorough risk management processes that now include credit, but also encompass liquidity, price, interest rate and other risks.

This probably isn't the final version of this, given all the intricacies and dependence on ratings the industry will have to adjust to, but it does give you a good gauge on where things are going. To avoid

regulatory pitfalls and protect the bank, grab a copy of the guidance (even if you aren't an OCC bank) and start thinking about how you are going to do this going forward.

BANK NEWS

So Nice

FNMA and FHLMC both announced that they will not be evicting delinquent homeowners over the holidays from Dec. 18th to Jan. 2nd.

MA Suit

The MA AG is the latest to serve its own civil suit against BofA, Wells, JP Morgan Chase, Citi and Ally Financial (as well as MERS) for illegally foreclosing on homes. The move could hurt current negotiations.

FHA

The White House is considering raising FHA premiums to shore up agency finances. The FHA accounts for about 30% of the mortgage market. This would be the 3rd hike since 2010.

Financially Dependent

A recent PNC survey found only 23% of individuals in the 20 to 30 year old cohort rated themselves as "financially independent." The Bank concluded that it needs to offer more financial leadership to 20-somethings, as well as target both them and their parents for products.

The Internet

While no surprise, a new study from the Pew Research Center shows 58% of Americans just go online to kill time. Also not surprising, the amount of surfing varies with age, as 53% of the 18 year old to 29 year olds go online for no reason compared to only 27% for the 50 to 64 year olds and 12% for those over 65.

Copyright 2021 PCBB. Information contained herein is based on sources we believe to be reliable, but its accuracy is not guaranteed. Customers should rely on their own outside counsel or accounting firm to address specific circumstances. This document cannot be reproduced or redistributed outside of your institution without the written consent of PCBB.