

PREDICTING PROBLEMS AND FDIC-ASSISTED DEALS

by [Steve Brown](#)

It is hard to decide who is crazier between embattled dictator Muammar Gaddafi and embattled actor Charlie Sheen. Both egocentric, sex-crazed, booze-fueled and logic challenged personalities have made some classic quotes lately. In fact, it is now a cottage industry predicted how long each will last in the spotlight. Unfortunately for all of us, both have unlimited access to airtime.

In similar fashion, a whole cottage industry has cropped up in banking that is getting good at predicting how long deposits will last when purchasing an FDIC closed bank. The exercise is instructive even if your bank is not looking at an acquisition, as it gives insight into building franchise value.

In an FDIC assisted transaction, bids are placed for both assets and deposits. When it comes to deposits, the liabilities are either bid at par or a premium. While the average premium for 2011 is 0.2%, most transactions go at par. When bid at par, the acquiring bank gets a period of time to reset the stated rate down on all or a portion of the liabilities. In addition, the acquiring bank may also choose to impose fees. Of course, dropping the rate and increasing fees, means the acquiring bank will face run-off of volume so one of the key assumptions in an acquisition is what the predicted deposit defection or retention will be.

To make this calculation, banks need to know the origination of the deposit, current balance, current rate, market rate (or alternatives) and the amount of other services utilized by the account. While our suggestion is to do this on the customer level, most acquiring banks don't want to get that granular and do it by deposit category (checking, savings, etc.). Once each factor is known, a defection probability can be applied to each and then weighted. While the exact probability and weighting is dependent on the bank, market and demographic they do all follow normal distributions and tend to be similar. For example, for most banks, the length of time with a bank has a larger impact than the size of the balances which are both dwarfed by the number of other products utilized by the account or account type. A set of accounts with online bill pay and/or remote deposit capture is more than 2x more likely to stay than an account that has been there for 4 or more years.

Finally, some acquirers break it down between the "initial defection period" and the "secondary defection period." Here, the initial defection period is normally thought to occur over the first 3 months. This is when about 70% of defections occur with the remaining 30% occurring over the time frame between 3 and 18 months after the acquisition/reset period.

By way of an high level example, a bank that acquires \$100mm of deposits that has industry standard account balances, 20% in DDAs, 5Y+ of account seasoning and has an average of 1.7 products each has about an average structure of a failed bank. Here, if you impose an average decrease of 45bp in rate and a \$2 per month average increase in account fees per month, the acquiring bank can expect to lose about \$17mm immediately and another \$6mm over the next 18 months. Of course, these numbers are just ballpark estimates. More of a rate adjustment would mean more run-off as would newer accounts or more balances in CDs without other utilized products. In general, the range of run-off is normally between 10% and 55% of the acquired bank.

If you have had other experiences with FDIC acquisitions or have other factors in your model, we would love to hear about them. Until we get more data, we admit that predicting run-off is more art than science. However, we will say models are getting more accurate by the month. While estimating deposit defection is a whole lot more accurate than forecasting how long Gaddafi or Sheen can hold the crazy spotlight, our prediction is that Sheen can outlast Gaddafi.

BANK NEWS

Mortgage Applications

Mortgage applications fell last week despite a 5bp to 15bp drop in mortgage rates. The trend underscores that basic demand for housing remains weak.

Job Cuts

Embedded in the Challenger Employment Report was job cuts data. While hiring was up on a net basis, February saw a 20% increase in planned layoffs largely driven by municipalities. That said, it should be noted that Jan. had record low layoffs, which is skews the monthly comparison.

Deposit Talk

The FDIC will hold a roundtable session on core and brokered deposits on the 18th of this month. While invitees are already set, a webcast will be available after. Of particular interest will be the definition of brokered and internet deposits as well as how deposits from CDARs and bulletin boards will be classified going forward. This roundtable is part of the FDIC's move to collect information so that it may offer balanced guidance before the July deadline (per DFA).

FHLBs

The System announced that it would move to increase their capitalization by directing 20% of earnings to a capital account. We are not really sure what this means, as earnings normally flow to capital, but it looks good on paper.

Copyright 2021 PCBB. Information contained herein is based on sources we believe to be reliable, but its accuracy is not guaranteed. Customers should rely on their own outside counsel or accounting firm to address specific circumstances. This document cannot be reproduced or redistributed outside of your institution without the written consent of PCBB.